

**\*Please Email attached Letter to Senate Appropriations Committee members**

- Click on Senator's email address and copy/paste that address and cc addresses from document to email
- On Subject line add: Please Oppose SB79
- Copy Senator's last name and paste after "Dear Senator"
- Copy/paste letter from attached document into email message
- \*Sign your name to the letter

**CA Senate Appropriations Committee**

**Senator Caballero (Chair)**

To: [senator.caballero@senate.ca.gov](mailto:senator.caballero@senate.ca.gov)

cc: gianna.setoudeh@sen.ca.gov;  
paloma.elizalde@sen.ca.gov

**Senator Seyarto (Vice-Chair)**

To: [senator.seyarto@senate.ca.gov](mailto:senator.seyarto@senate.ca.gov)

cc: nicolas.gauthier@sen.ca.gov;

**Senator Cabaldon**

To: [senator.cabaldon@senate.ca.gov](mailto:senator.cabaldon@senate.ca.gov)

cc: tobias.uptain-villa@sen.ca.gov;  
timothy.knox@sen.ca.gov

**Senator Dahle**

To: [senator.dahle@senate.ca.gov](mailto:senator.dahle@senate.ca.gov)

cc: sheldon.fort@sen.ca.gov;  
doria.wallentine@sen.ca.gov

**Senator Grayson**

To: [senator.grayson@senate.ca.gov](mailto:senator.grayson@senate.ca.gov)

cc: samantha.yturalde@sen.ca.gov;  
robert.cruz@sen.ca.gov

**Senator Richardson**

To: [senator.richardson@senate.ca.gov](mailto:senator.richardson@senate.ca.gov)

cc: autumn.ogden-smith@sen.ca.gov;  
tricia.lipper@sen.ca.gov

**Senator Wahab**

To: [senator.wahab@senate.ca.gov](mailto:senator.wahab@senate.ca.gov)

cc: jody.fujii@sen.ca.gov

Dear Senator ,

We respectfully urge you to oppose SB 79.

This bill mandates harmful and unnecessary higher-density development in stable single-family and affordable multi-family neighborhoods that already provide essential housing. Instead of disrupting these communities, increased density should be directed on transit corridors where underused commercial properties and sufficient infrastructure exists.

SB 79 undermines local housing plans (Housing Element), drives up land costs, displaces vulnerable residents and imposes costly infrastructure upgrades on communities eroding their support for future investments. SB79 could trigger state intervention and sanctions for cities unable to meet the affordability goals.

Rather than promote equitable growth, SB 79 disrupts thoughtful, community-driven planning.

The financial burden of transit authorities should not fall on residents living several blocks from a transit stop who may not necessarily benefit but still have to contribute to its cost.

Please stand with our neighborhoods and oppose SB 79.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

**Senator Wiener (SB79 Bill Author)**

To: [senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov](mailto:senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov)

cc: severiano.christian@sen.ca.gov

**\*Please Email Letter to Senator Wiener**

- Click on Senator's email address and copy/paste that address and cc addressee from document to email
- On Subject line add: Substitute Amendment for SB79
- Copy Senator's last name and paste after "Dear Senator"
- On Subject line add: Please Oppose SB79
- Copy/paste letter from attached document into email message
- \*Sign your name to the letter

Dear Senator Wiener,

We respectfully urge you to replace SB79 with a substitute amendment to return the planning authority to individual cities.

This bill mandates harmful and unnecessary higher-density development in single-family and affordable multi-family neighborhoods that already provide essential housing. Instead of disrupting these communities, increased density should be directed on transit corridors where underused commercial properties and sufficient infrastructure exists.

SB 79 undermines local housing plans (Housing Element), drives up land costs, displaces vulnerable residents and imposes costly infrastructure upgrades on communities eroding their support for future investments. SB79 could trigger state intervention and sanctions for cities unable to meet the affordability goals.

Rather than promote equitable growth, SB 79 disrupts thoughtful, community-driven planning.

The financial burden of transit authorities should not fall on residents living several blocks from a transit stop who may not necessarily benefit but still have to contribute to its cost.

I am asking you to re-consider the fiscal and long-term community consequences of SB79 and replace it with a substitute amendment to return the planning authority to individual cities.

Thank you,

Sincerely,